IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ELIPE DHARMA RAO

–

WRIT PETITION No.: 5908 of 1999

Between:

C.Narayana S/o.late C.Pochaiah, Aged 55 years,
Former MLA and Chairman of Human Rights

Protection Committee, 38. Committee, A.P.Branch,

and President of Bharathiya Depressed Classes League,

A.P.Branch, Govt.of A.P., and President of Babu

Jagjivanram All India Samatha Movement,

R/o.127/SRT, Sanjeevareddy Nagar, Hyderabad-

PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector,
Mahabubnagar District.
2 Dr.Mallu Ravi, Member of Parliament, 401,
Tilasani Apartments, Dwarakapuri Colony,
Panjagutta, Hyderabad.
3 Accounts Officer, District Rural Development Agency,
Mahabubnagar,
4 Government of India, rep. by its Secretary, Finance Department
New Delhi.
5 Government of India, rep. by its Secretary, Rural Welfare Department
6 M/s. Elfex Advanced Technology Services
Yellareddyguda, Ameerpet,
Blok 5-D, Krishna Apartments, Hyderabad.

RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ or order or direction, particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not opening a Computer Education Project Centre under the M.P.Local Area Development Scheme at the Umamaheswari Government Degree College, Achampet, in spite of written and oral representations of the petitioner, beginning with the written representation dated 5-4-98, for the benefit of the scheduled castes and scheduled tribes in particular, and others in general, as illegal, and arbitrary, and consequently direct the respondents to immediately takes steps and open the said Computer Education Project Centre at Achampet in Nagerkurnool Parliamentry Constituency, Mahabubnagar district, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.T.MOHAN RAO

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 & 3: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent No.4&5: Sri A.Rajasekhara Reddys

Addl. Solicitor General of India

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The case of the petitioner that is that on 2.4.1998, the 2nd respondent made recommendation for opening of Computer Centre in certain places of Nagarkurnool constituency, to the District Collector, Mehboobnagar eliminating Atchampet, he submitted a representation on 5.4.1998 to the 2nd respondent stating that majority of the people at Atchampet Assembly Constituency belong to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, and therefore, to impart education in computer, it is just and necessary to open such center at Atchmapet, with the funds being allocated by the Central Government and the State Government to the Constituencies. In furtherance of his contention, several contentions were raised by the petitioner.

Without going into the merits or otherwise of the contentions raised, the writ petition deserves to be dismissed, for the reason that it has become infructuous, in view of the dissolution of 12th Lok Sabha. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Elipe Dharma Rao, J.

To

1. The District Collector,
   Mahabubnagar District.
2 The Accounts Officer, District Rural Development Agency,
   Mahabubnagar,
3 The Secretary,

Finance Department,

Government of India, New Delhi.
4. The Secretary,

Rural Welfare Department

Government of India, New Delhi.

5. Two CCs each to Government Pleader for Revenue and Asst.

Solicitor General of India, A.P. High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.

6. 2 CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**RAGHU**}*